

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,509	08/27/2001	Doug Dunning	40655.5800	7398	
20322	7590 07/09/2004		EXAMINER		
SNELL & WILMER			BASHORE, ALAIN L		
~.	ONA CENTER 'AN BUREN	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PHOENIX, AZ 850040001			3624		
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No. Applicant(s)						
	09/940,50)9	DUNNING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	A IAI)			
	Alain L. Ba		3624	IVVV			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no even eply within the state of will apply and wi ute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron lication to become ABANDON	imely filed nys will be considered time in the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	August 2001						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is n	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-76</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest solution 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-76</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	rawn from co						
Application Papers				* .			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		· ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	-	- · ·	-				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have bee nts have bee iority docume au (PCT Rul	n received. In received in Applicatents have been receive ents have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1. 	8)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		ΓO-152)			
S Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/940,509

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 23-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 23-44 recite "system" which is vague and indefinite since a system may be one of several different statutory classes of invention (including a method or an apparatus). Applicant must indicate on the record what statutory class of invention the system claims belong to. For the purposes of this examination these claims are considered apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Page 2

4. Claims 1-22, 45-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as non-statutory because the method claims as presented do not claim a technological basis. Without a claimed basis, the claim may be interpreted in an alternative as involving no more than a manipulation of an abstract idea and therefore non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. In contrast, a method claim that includes in the preamble and body of the claim structural / functional interrelationships that are solely by computer (and non-trivial) are considered to have a technological basis [See Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1669, 1671 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001) – used only for content and reasoning since not precedential].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King in view of Sandretto.

King discloses a method foe enabling investors to participate in market activity and preserve principal. There is offered an investment instrument for sale, for a certain face value, term and date of maturity (col 7, lines 45-50). Funds are accepted from a

Art Unit: 3624

purchaser for at least one of the investment instrument. A return–generating model is disclosed based on the activity of at least one market index and the return earned by the investment is calculated according to the return-generating model (col 8, lines 23-65). The monetary equivalent of at least one of the principal and return are distributed to the purchaser and the return is added to the face value of the investment to calculate the principal (col 9, lines 48-67; col 10, lines 1-6). The principal is guaranteed against losses (col 15, lines 40-45).

King does not disclose:

allowing the purchaser to select a return-generating model from at least two deferent return-generating models.

Sandretto discloses allowing the purchaser to select a return-generating model from at least two deferent return-generating models (col 27, lines 15-20).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include allowing the purchaser to select a return-generating model from at least two deferent returngenerating models because Sandretto teaches model determination will affect cash flow (col 25, lines 6-16).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include term durations as claimed since Sandretto teaches various time periods for simulation purposes to determine the best model used (col 25, lines 10-14).

Application/Control Number: 09/940,509

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 703-308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (Monday thru Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore

Page 5